

Legal Aspects of Earth Observation for Security and Military Reasons

May 9, 2023 **University of Public Service** Széchenyi Hall (1083 Budapest, Ludovika tér 2)

Gregorio Salatino

Intro

- > Lyndon B. Johnson: "Control of space means control of the world" (1958).
- > Technical developments for overseeing lands from high altitudes:
 - ancient Greece and Rome: hilltops and watchtowers;
 - > 1794, battle of Fleurus, French army used hot air balloons;
 - airplanes in the First and Second World Wars;
 - > satellites
- Now satellites are used: (i) for intelligence gathering; (ii) as "gunshots", in order to guide weapon attacks against objectives on Earth.
- Space has a legal framework inspired by <u>principles of cooperation and "benefit-sharing"</u> between States. How intelligence gathering, in time of peace, "get along" these principles?
- 2) What are the legal implication of the use of satellites as "gunshots" in a warfare scenario?

Intelligence gathering

- Common practice (all States spy).
- Two opposing theories illegal vs. legal

Illegal	Legal
It is military activity, hence not "peaceful"	It is a non-aggressive activity , hence "paceful"
	Satellites are located outside the boundaries of national sovereignty, therefore the activity is legal.
-	It is legal beacause it is necessary for proper self-defence.

The second theory has prevailed.

Intelligence gathering

- The issue in not the activity in itself. The question is: does the legal framework include a technical obligation to share data and information gathered through satellites?
- Clauses from which such an obligation may rise:
 - > The exploration and use of outer space shall be carried out "for the benefit and in the interests of all countries ... " (Art. I);
 - "States Parties ... shall be guided by the principle of cooperation and mutual assistance ..." (Art. IX);
 - "the nature, conduct, locations and results of such activities" must be shared with the Secretary-General of the United Nations, the scientific community and the public (Art. XI).
 - > 1986 Principles Relating to Remote Sensing of the Earth from Outer Space (the sensed State has the right to access the data).

Intelligence gathering

- > There is no technical obligation to share data and information gathered through satellites.
- The principles of cooperation, mutual assistance, benefit and interest of all countries, etc. (Articles I and IX) are more guiding principles than obligations under a technical standpoint.
- The language used in Article XI (the sensing State should share "the nature, conduct, locations and results of such activities" with the Secretary-General of the United Nations, the scientific community and the public). It says that "State parties ... agree to inform", it does not say "shall".
- > 1986 Principles Relating to Remote Sensing of the Earth from Outer Space have a limited extent: they govern remote sensing activities only "for the purpose of improving natural resources management, land use and the protection of the environment" (Principle I).
- > A last non-contested use: satellites are also used in order to verify compliance with Arms Control Treaties. In such treaties are often included clauses prohibiting to interfere with "National Technical Means", i.e. with the remote sensing activity that is carried out through satellites.

Guidance for weapon attacks

- > Satellites can also guide attacks against targets on Earth.
- Accurate technology can improve compliance with International Humanitarian Law.
- > A "double-edged sword:" on the one hand satellites can help to comply with International Humanitarian Law / on the other hand there are "no excuses" in case of lack of compliance.
- > IHL: an attempt to make more "human" the principle of military necessity.
- > In a nutshell, force can be used as long as it is strictly necessary for the purpose of submitting the adversary, but no unnecessary suffering shall be caused.

Guidance for weapon attacks

- Principle of distinction: Art. 48 Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Convention: the Parties to the conflict shall distinguish between the civilian population and combatants and between civilian objects and military objectives and "accordingly shall direct their operations only against military objectives".
- > Never use weapons that are incapable of distinguish between civilian and military targets.
- > Even if a State is targeting a military object, the so called "collateral damages" may arise.
- Principle of proportionality (art. 51(5)(b) Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Convention): is prohibited "an attack which may be expected to cause incidental loss of civilian life, injury to civilians, damage to civilian objects, or a combination thereof, which would be excessive in relation to the concrete and direct military advantage anticipated".
- Principle of precautions in attacks (art. 57(2)ii) Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Convention): "take all feasible precautions in the choice of means and methods of attack with a view to avoiding, and in any event to minimizing, incidental loss of civilian life, injury to civilians, damage to civilian objects".

Consequences

- > Satellites play a key role in modern warfare, so they may be a target for belligerent parties.
- Principle of distinction: only military object can be targeted. But, even a "dual-use" satellite can be included in the definition of military object for the use that it is made of it.
- Military objectives "are limited to those objects which by their nature, location, purpose or use make an effective contribution to military action and whose total or partial destruction, capture or neutralization, in the circumstances ruling at the time, offers a definite military advantage" (Art. 52 Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Convention).
- Principle of proportionality: "It is prohibited to attack, destroy, remove or render useless objects indispensable to the survival of the civilian population" (art. 54(2) Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Convention) (in relation to satellites, reference can be made to disaster management applications, remote monitoring of dams and drinking water installations, etc.).
- Principle of precautions in attack: (constant care to avoid collateral damages) when planning means and methods of attack, it is recommended avoiding kinetic attacks they destroy the satellites into pieces, thus creating a huge amount of debris.

Contacts

Info at:

Salatino Studio Legale

Via Costanza n. 34 20146 Milan (Italy)

E-mail: gregorio.salatino@salatinolex.com

www.salatinolex.com

Follow us on:











Who am I?

- > Mr. Gregorio Salatino, admitted to practice law in Italy
- Owner of the law firm "Salatino Studio Legale".
- Legal assistance to companies, mainly in corporate law, contracts, litigation, international law. Particular focus on space law.
- Mentor at ESA BIC Turin (accelerator of the European Space Agency incorporated in Italy).
- Researcher at the Institute of Space Law and Policy of the University of Public Service (Budapest, Hungary).
- Visiting researcher at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, Heidelberg (Germany), research project on the "Observation of Earth from outer space".
- Member of the Board of editors of the legal journals "Giurisprudenza Commerciale" and "Diritto del Commercio Internazionale".
- Author of many publications in the fields of economy law and international law.



